Silane-Controlled Diastereoselectivity in the Tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane-Catalyzed Reduction of α -Diketones to Silyl-Protected 1,2-Diols

Miranda K. Skjel, Adrian Y. Houghton, Andrea E. Kirby, Daniel J. Harrison, Robert McDonald,^{\ddagger} and Lisa Rosenberg^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of Victoria, P.O. Box 3065, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3V6

lisarose@uvic.ca

Received November 25, 2009





 $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed bis(hydrosilylation) of α -diketones can give high diastereomeric excess of either *meso/anti* (small silanes and disilane reagents) or *dl/syn* (bulky silanes) silyl-protected 1,2-diols. This easily tuned diastereoselectivity is rationalized based on the classic Felkin–Anh model applied to a mechanism relying on Si–H abstraction by the electrophilic borane reagent.

Setting the relative stereochemistry at adjacent chiral centers in a molecule is a fundamental goal in synthetic chemistry. One strategy employs the reduction of α -diketones (or α -hydroxy ketones) to generate 1,2-diol reagents that are useful not only as chiral building blocks in complex natural product synthesis but also more generally as chiral auxiliaries or ligands in asymmetric catalysis. Varying degrees of enantio- and diastereoselectivity have been reported for a wide range of methods for the reduction of α -diketones,¹ but very few highly enantioselective routes are accompanied by high diastereoselectivity,² and among diastereoselective routes, there is often an inherent preference for formation of the meso or anti (for symmetric or unsymmetric diols, respectively) stereoisomer.³ We describe here bis(hydrosilylation) reactions of α -diketones catalyzed by the electrondeficient borane, $B(C_6F_5)_3$, in which high selectivity for either the meso (anti) or the dl (syn) isomer of silyl-protected 1,2diol products can be obtained simply by varying the substituents at the silane reagent under identical reaction conditions.

The highly electrophilic Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$ has been shown to catalyze the hydrosilylation of carbonyl groups in ketones, aldehydes, and esters, giving high yields under mild conditions and with low catalyst loadings.⁴ We recently reported B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed hydrosilylation and heterodehydrocoupling reactions of sym-dihydridodisilanes that proceed with absolute chemoselectivity for Si-H over Si-Si activation.⁵ We have now extended these studies to include the bis(hydrosilylation) of α -diketones, which gives quantitative conversion to 1,2-disilacyclic products such as 1-3(Table 1). ¹³C and ¹H NMR indicate the presence of a single diastereomer in samples of 1 (entry 1), and conversion to the corresponding, known 1,2-diol, hydrobenzoin,⁶ confirmed the exclusive formation of the meso isomer in this reaction. This selectivity drops only slightly for the analogous reductions of 1-phenyl-2,3-propanedione and 2,3-butanedione (entries 2 and 3). These novel disilacycles can be isolated

[‡] X-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada T6G 2G2.

Table 1. Hydrosilylation of α -Diketones by *sym*-Tetraphenyldisilane^{*a*}

R R' R meso or anti di or s	H Syn
entry R R' product meso/dl (anti/	syn)
1 Ph Ph 1 100:0 2 Me Ph 2 (91:9) 3 Me Me 3 86:14	

^{*a*} Product ratios determined by ¹H NMR. All reactions gave complete conversion to products within 1 h. Results averaged over two to three trials.

in 70–80% yield with the diastereomer ratios shown in Table 1; subsequent recrystallization provides 2 as >99% *anti* and 3 as \sim 96% *meso*. The molecular structure of the major, *meso* diastereomer of 3, obtained from a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study (Figure 1), shows clearly the plane of



Figure 1. Molecular structure of *meso-3*. Only the ipso carbons of the phenyl rings are shown. Non-hydrogen atoms are represented by Gaussian ellipsoids at the 20% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are shown with arbitrarily small thermal parameters except for phenyl hydrogens, which are not shown.

symmetry in this reduced diketone, as well as an enforced eclipsed conformation along the Si–Si bond in this constrained, protected diol.

The diastereomer ratios in Table 1 can be rationalized on the basis of steric constraints associated with ring-closing (vide infra), but we were surprised to discover that bis-(hydrosilylation) of benzil by the monosilane substrate MePh₂SiH also proceeds stereoselectively (Table 2, entry 1). Even more interestingly, deprotection of the product, **4**,⁶ showed that the major stereoisomer in this case is *dl*: an inversion of preferred stereochemistry relative to that observed for the disilane substrates. The same reaction performed using a variety of different α -diketones (Table 2) showed minimal impact of the steric and electronic features of these substrates on the diastereomer ratios. On the other hand, we observed a profound influence of the structure of the monosilane on diastereoselectivity in the hydrosilylation **Table 2.** Hydrosilylation of α -Diketones by MePh₂SiH^{*a*}

	meso or anti	dl or syn
 	 1 /	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	C_6H_5	C_6H_5	4	17:83
2	CH_3	C_6H_5	5	(21:79)
3	CH_3	CH_3	6	19:81
4	p-C ₆ H ₄ CH ₃	p-C ₆ H ₄ CH ₃	7	11:89
5	p-C ₆ H ₄ OCH ₃	p-C ₆ H ₄ OCH ₃	8^{b}	$22:78^{b}$
6	p-C ₆ H ₄ F	p-C ₆ H ₄ F	9	12:88
7	p-C ₆ H ₄ Br	p-C ₆ H ₄ Br	10	14:86

^{*a*} Product ratios determined by ¹H NMR. Unless otherwise noted, all reactions gave quantitative conversion to listed products within 1 h. Results averaged over three to five trials. ^{*b*} For this reaction we observed several side products by ¹H NMR, giving an overall ~70% yield of **8**.⁷

of benzil catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (Figure 2). While Me₃SiH gave a very high ratio of the *meso* product (98:2, same preferred diastereomer as observed for *sym*-tetraphenyldisilane), Ph₃SiH gave a similarly high proportion of the *dl* diastereomer (4:96). The least stereodifferentiating silane was Et₃SiH. This trend in selectivity correlates very well with a simple change in bulk of the α -siloxy substituent.^{8,9}

The established mechanism for hydrosilylation of carbonyl groups catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ relies on partial abstraction of the silane Si-H bond by the borane, as demonstrated by

(1) Examples include the following, and references therein. Reactions of metal hydride reagents: (a) Li, X.; Zhao, G.; Cao, W. G. Chin. J. Chem. 2006, 24, 1402. (b) Clerici, A.; Pastori, N.; Porta, O. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 3326. (c) Abernethy, C. D.; Cole, M. L.; Davies, A. J.; Jones, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 7567. (d) Ravikumar, K. S.; Sinha, S.; Chandrasekaran, S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 5841. Biocatalytic methods: (e) Edegger, K.; Stampfer, W.; Seisser, B.; Faber, K.; Mayer, S. F.; Oehrlein, R.; Hafner, A.; Kroutil, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 1904. (f) Demir, A. S.; Hamamci, H.; Ayhan, P.; Duygu, A. N.; Igdir, A. C.; Capanoglu, D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2579. (g) Kihumbu, D.; Stillger, T.; Hummel, W.; Liese, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 1069. (h) Buisson, D.; Elbaba, S.; Azerad, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4453. Organocatalyzed hydroboration: (i) Shimizu, M.; Tsukamoto, K.; Matsutani, T.; Fujisawa, T. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 10265. Metal-catalyzed hydrogenation: (j) Toukoniitty, E.; Busygin, I.; Leino, R.; Murzin, D. Y. J. Catal. 2004, 227, 210. (k) Zhou, D. Q.; He, M.; Zhang, Y. H.; Huang, M. Y.; Jiang, Y. Y. Polym. Adv. Technol. 2003, 14, 287. (1) Li, Y.; Liu, H. F. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2001, 12, 127. (m) Hattori, K.; Sajiki, H.; Hirota, K. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 4817. Metal-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation: (n) Ikariya, T.; Hashiguchi, S.; Murata, K.; Noyori, R.; Wipf, P.; Amantini, D. Org. Synth. 2005, 82, 10. (o) Wu, X. F.; Li, X. H.; Zanotti-Gerosa, A.; Pettman, A.; Liu, J. K.; Mills, A. J.; Xiao, J. L. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 2209. Catalytic hydrosilylation: (p) Tsuhako, A.; He, J. Q.; Mihara, M.; Saino, N.; Okamoto, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 9120. (q) Nadkarni, D.; Hallissey, J.; Mojica, C. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 594. (r) Kuwano, R.; Sawamura, M.; Shirai, J.; Takahashi, M.; Ito, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2000, 73, 485. (s) Corriu, R. J. P.; Lanneau, G. F.; Yu, Z. F. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 9019.

(2) References 1g and 1n stand out in this respect but are limited in diketone scope.

(3) Mengel, A.; Reiser, O. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1191.

(4) (a) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9440. (b)
Parks, D. J.; Blackwell, J. M.; Piers, W. E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3090.
(c) Asao, N.; Ohishi, T.; Sato, K.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6931. (d) Bach, P.; Albright, A.; Laali, K. K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 1961.



Figure 2. Variation in diastereoselectivity as a function of the size of the silane reagent.

Piers et al. using isotopic labeling experiments and computational studies, along with ¹H, ²⁹Si, and ¹⁹F NMR experiments.^{4b} The resulting silylium-like fragment is then highly susceptible to nucleophilic attack at silicon by the carbonyl oxygen, and ultimately the free borate anion delivers hydride to the carbonyl carbon. Scheme 1 illustrates how the

Scheme 1. Proposed Source of Diastereoselectivity for Bulky Silane Reagents, Illustrated for the (*R*)-Enantiomer of a Racemic α -Chiral Ketone Mixture



Felkin–Anh model for nucleophilic addition at carbonyl groups³ plays out for this mechanism in the reduction of benzil by bulky monosilane substrates. The first hydrosilylation step gives a racemic mixture of the α -chiral ketone, in which the preferred conformation places the largest substituent (L) orthogonal to the remaining carbonyl double bond.¹⁰ Thus, one diastereotopic face of the carbonyl group in each enantiomer is blocked by the α -siloxy group, while the other diastereotopic face is defined by the proximity of either the medium-sized substituent (M = Ph) or the smallest substituent (S = H) to the incoming nucleophile. A key feature is the bulk of the borate anion that delivers the hydride to the carbonyl carbon, which promotes the formation of the Felkin as opposed to the *anti*-Felkin product. The loss or inversion of stereoselectivity observed for smaller silanes corresponds to a switch in the L and M assignments in this mechanism: the small α -OSiMe₃ group (M) gives the most extreme Felkin induction for L = Ph. The approximately 1:1 ratio of diastereomers obtained for the reduction of benzil with HSiEt₃ indicates the comparable bulk of the OSiEt₃ and Ph groups.

Scheme 2 shows that the *meso/anti* selectivity exhibited in the disilane reactions (Table 1) arises from a variation on





the Cram-chelate model:^{3,11} the disilaryl fragment $Ph_2Si-SiPh_2$ generates a six-membered "chelate" intermediate, at which hydride delivery from the borate anion occurs preferentially from the H-side of the carbocation, instead of the Ph- (or Me-) side, giving the *anti*-Felkin product.¹²

Thus we have found a one-step reaction for controlling the relative stereochemistry at protected 1,2-diol reagents that

(8) Silane cone angles (θ) refer to R₃Si-H analyzed as for R₃P-M: (a) Tolman, C. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1977**, 77, 313. (b) Hester, D. M.; Sun, J. M.; Harper, A. W.; Yang, G. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 5234. This metric shows the *relative* steric influence of α -siloxy groups, although the added Si-O distance tempers the absolute bulk experienced by the adjacent carbonyl group.

⁽⁵⁾ Harrison, D. J.; Edwards, D. R.; McDonald, R.; Rosenberg, L. Dalton Trans. 2008, 3401.

⁽⁶⁾ Addition of excess tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF gave quantitative conversion to diols in \leq 5 h at rt as determined by ¹H NMR.

⁽⁷⁾ We have not yet identified these side products but presume that the broader product distribution observed for this reaction results from the catalyst binding to the *p*-OMe groups in the substrate. This highlights a potential limitation in the scope of diketones suitable for this method. The formation of unwanted borane adducts at remote donor sites in the substrate may simply inhibit catalysis, giving lower yields or requiring higher catalyst loading (e.g., the reduction of 1,2-bis(pyridyl)-1,2-dione under comparable conditions requires up to 15 mol % borane), but at worst the coordinated borane will cause competing reactions that degrade the substrate. See, e.g., ref 4b and: Welch, G. C.; Masuda, J. D.; Stephan, D. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 478 (nucleophilic ring opening of B(C₆F₅)₃-coordinated THF).

relies on simple variation of a silane reagent in the catalytic reduction of α -diketones with both alkyl and aryl substituents. We are now exploring extension of this method to the

(10) In some cases, electron-withdrawing groups occupy the L site, regardless of steric bulk (ref 3). Our results, especially the distinct selectivities observed for the electronically comparable HSiMe₃ and HSiEt₃, suggest either that this electronic effect is not important or that the OSiR₃ groups we studied all have electron-withdrawing abilities comparable to those of Ph.

(11) Reetz, M. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 462.

(12) Similar *anti* selectivity was observed for intramolecular hydrosilylation of α -diketones employing a primary aminoarylsilane (ref 1s), but deprotection of the resulting 2,5-dioxa-1-silacyclopentane ring to the corresponding diol was not possible.

enantioselective synthesis of protected 1,2-diols. In addition to evaluating the induction provided by chiral silane reagents, this ongoing work presents the interesting challenge of identifying chiral boranes that preserve the high activity of $B(C_6F_5)_{3.}^{13}$

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the NSERC of Canada for financial support, including scholarships to M.K.S. and A.E.K. Some of this work was carried out under the auspices of the Inorganic Chemistry Exchange (ICE) program for undergraduate researchers.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL902451H

⁽⁹⁾ The larger silane *t*-BuPh₂SiH ($\theta = 157^{\circ}$) did not react, probably because the Si-H abstraction step was inhibited (see ref 4b). Two silanes with smaller cone angles gave product mixtures; for (EtO)₃SiH ($\theta = 109^{\circ}$) these probably result from competing de-ethanative coupling to give siloxanes: (a) Chojnowski, J.; Rubinsztajn, S.; Cella, J. A.; Fortuniak, W.; Cypryk, M.; Kurjata, J.; Kazmierski, K. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 6077. For (*n*-hexyl)SiH₃ ($\theta \sim 106^{\circ}$), ¹H NMR suggests competing formation of macrocyclic and/or 5-membered ring hydrosilylation products (see ref 1s).

⁽¹³⁾ Morrison, D. J.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M. Synlett 2004, 2429.